

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/053,111		BREHMER ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	CARRAMAH J. QUIETT		2622		

All Participants:

(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.

(2) Michael Cleveland, Applicant's Attorney.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1-4 and 24-28

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called the Applicant's Attorney to propose an Examiner's Amendment for the allowance of the present application. The Attorney agreed to the Examiner's proposals (see Examiner Amendment). On March 25, 2008 at 1:54 pm, the Examiner and the Attorney agreed on an extra change to claim 24 (see Examiner Amendment).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 5:10 pm

/Carramah J Quiett/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

